÷.

2D 098 056	SE 018 171
AUTHOR	Brown, Lowis H.
TITLE	Relationships Between Progressivism, Traditionalism,
	Dognatism, and Philosophical Consistency in Science, English and Elementary School Teachers.
PUB DATE	17 Apr. 74
NOTE	15p.; Paper presented at the annual meeting of the
	National Association for Research in Science Teaching
	(47th, Chicago, Illinois, April 1974); Marginal
	legibility on Table 4 and Figure 7
EDRS PRICE	NF-\$0.75 HC-\$1.50 FLUS POSTAGE
DESCRIPTORS	*Educational Philosophy; *Educational Research;
	Elementary School Teachers; Philosophy; *Predictor
	Variables; Science Education; Student Teach(rs;
	*Teacher Attitudes; *Teacher Characteristics 👘 🎬
IDENTIFIERS	Research Reports

ABSTRACT

377

This study was concerned with the delineation of relationships among teacher attitudes on progressive and traditional teaching ideologies, personal philosophical cruentation, and the degree of individual differences in openness or closedness of belief systems among secondary and elementary teachers. Sixteen science student teachers and 54 science, English and elementary school teachers were participants in the study. Three tests, the Kerlinger Education Scale I, the Rokeach Dogmatism Scale, and the Hug Philosophical Consistency Test, were taken by all participants. Multi-linear stepwise regression and analysis of variance were used to determine predictive value of life philosophy upon educational attitude and to determine differences. Significant differences between pairs of groups were determined with t-tests. Conclusions from the study indicate life philosophy is related to educational attitude. Knowing the life philosophy would help in the formation of teaching teams and in assignment of student teachers to co-operating teachers. English teachers scored significantly different on the philosophical test; the results indicated they had greater experience with philosophy during college years than did science teachers. (Author/EB)



マ.

1

Relationships Between Progressiviam, Traditionalism, Dogmatism, and Philosophical Consistency in Science, English and Elementary School Teachers

by

Lewis M. Brown, Ph.D. Assistant Professor of Student Teaching New Mexico State University San Juan Branch Farmington, New Mexico

National Association for Research in Science Teaching Annual Convention Chicago, Illinois April 17, 1974

12181:0005

۲

EB 098056

RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN PROGRESSIVISM, TRADITIONALISM, DOGATISM, AND PHILOSOPHICAL CONSISTENCY IN SCIENCE, ENGLISH AND ELEMENTARY SCHOOL TEACHERS

Statement of the Problem

This study was concerned with the delineation of relationships among teacher attitudes on progressive and traditional teaching ideologies, personal philosophical orientation, and degree of individual differences in openness or closedness of belief systems. More specifically, a relationship was sought between a particular teaching ideology, progressivism, and a particular personal philosophical orientation, existentialism, with the expectation that one may be indicative or perhaps predictive of the other.

Additionally, an attempt was made to isolate differences in progressive and traditional teaching ideologies, personal philosophica orientation, degree of differences in openness or closedness of belief systems, and degree of difference in pseudoprogressivism, among four subgroups consisting of secondary English teachers, secondary science teachers, secondary science student teachers, and elementary teachers.

Population

The population used in this study consisted of sixteen science student teachers enrolled at the university of New Mexico during the spring semester of 1973 and fifty-four teachers employed by the Albuquerque Public Schools. The sample of teachers was composed of thirty science teachers, ten English teachers, and fourteen elementary school teachers.

The sample of science teachers was crawn from seven high schools



3.

and one junior high school.

The science and English departments of two high schools were asked to volunteer for participation in the study because of their positions as student teaching centers used by the university of New Mexico Department of Secondary Education. The remainder of the science sample was derived from questionnaires mailed to all teache of secondary science affiliated with the Albuquerque Public Schools

The sample of student teachers was derived from the Spring, 1973 section in science student teaching offered at the University of New Mexico. All participants in the class volunteered for the study. The elementary sample was randomly chosen from the faculty of an elementary school which has both open space and self-containe classrooms. Grade levels one through five are represented.

Procedure

Three tests, the Kerlinger Education Scale I, the Rokeach Dogmetism Scale, and the Hug Philosophical Consistency Test, were distributed to the participants of the study with the understanding that they were to be completed at the individual's leisure, without a specified time limit, and then returned to the investigator. The participants were informed only of an indirect goal of the study, the potential use of the measured variables to create more meaningf student teacher - co-operating teacher matches, and were not expose to the major hypothese of the study or the variables to be measured and compared. It was clearly stated that comments would be welcome in margins and that there was no inherent rightness or wrongness of answers to the questions put forth in the instruments. The names of the instruments, two of which have been used extensively for man years in educational research, were withheld from the participants.

Instruments

The three instruments used for data collection are paper and

2

pencil self-reporting measures.

Rokeach Dogmatism Scale measures openness or closedness of belief systems. The instrument makes the assumption that strong agreement with particular statements indicates possession of one extreme of the characteristic being measured. Each statement is designed to transcend specific ideological positions in order to penetrate to the formal and structural characteristics of all positions. A range of scores from 40 to 280 is possible with the lower scores indicating openmindedness and the higher scores indicating closemindedness.

· Æ

Kerlinger Education Scale I measures attitudes reflecting traditionalism and progressivism.

The twenty item scale encompasses ten highly saturated progressive statements and ten highly saturated traditional statements There is a high of seventy and a low of ten for each attitude. Forty is considered the neutral point.

Hug Philosophical Consistency Test

The Hug test of philosophical consistency expresses in stateme form answers philosophers give to frequently asked questions. The procedure used in constructing the test was essentially deductive and the items of the instrument were drawn from the literature of philosophy. By choosing the response liked best and that liked least, the subject places himself in agreement with some philosophirepresented in the test include idealism, realism, neo-Thomism, experimentalism, and existentialism.

Data Collection

All subjects included in the study completed the three instruments without interference from other subjects and in complet anonymity from other teachers.

ı;

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

EEST COPY AVAILABLE Data Analysis

Multi-linear stepwise regression and analysis of variance was used to determine predictive value of life philosophy upon educational attitude and to determine differences among the four teacher subgroups on Kerlinger Scale and Hug Test scores.

Significant differences between any pairs of groups on the Kerlinger and Rokeach instruments, including analysis involving pseudoprogressivism, were determined through the use of t - static

Total Sumple Results

1. A significant correlation was found between positive responses agreement to existentialism and progressivism. (Table -In addition, a significant multiple correlation was discovered between positive responses to the philosophies as represented on the Hug test and progressivism.

2. A significant positive correlation between responses to negative existentialism, disagreement with existential thought, and traditionalism was found along with a significant negative correlation between negative realism and traditionalism ($\underline{r} = 0.25$) $\underline{df} = 67$, $\underline{p} \ 0.05$, Table 2). Additionally, the multiple correlation between the five philosophies and traditionalism was significant (r = 0.3868, df = 67, $p \ 0.05$, Table 3).

3. In addition, we see a significant correlation between negative results in agreement with Existentialism and traditional. (Table 3).

4. It has been shown (Table 1) that teachers harboring progressive educational attitudes are in agreement with existentia philosophical ideologies and teachers harboring traditional educational attitudes are in disagreement with existentialism (Table 2). It has also been shown, through the significance

of the multiple correlation and the F score, that the Hug test, (and in particular the positive and negative existentialism scores on the instrument, is predictive of progressive and traditional educational attitude.

Teacher Group Comparison Results

Results also indicate that there are significant differences in educational attitude, philosophical orientation, and degree of opennoss or closedness of belief systems, among the four teacher subgroups. Science teachers and student teachers were: (1) signific: more experimentalist than were English and elementary teachers. The science teachers and student teachers exhibited a much greater degree of pseudoprogressivism that did the other teacher subgroups.

Pseudoprogressivism is defined as attitudes which are progressiv in content but dognatic or closed in structure and is measured by the relationship of scores on the progressivism portion of the Kerlinger Education Scale I and the Rokeach Dogmatism Scale.

Operationally, a pseudoprogressive is defined as an individual who scores above the median of forty on the progressivism portion of the Kerlinger Education Scale I, and is therefore considered progressive, and above the mean for his group on the Rokeach Dogmatism Scale, and is therefore considered dogmatic.

In using percentages to compare the degree of pseudoprogressivism in each Jubgroup, it was found that 53% of the science teachers, 40% of the English teachers, 63% of the science student teachers, and 36% of the elementary teachers were pseudoprogressives (Figure 1). The elementary sample was found to be significantly less traditional than the secondary science sample and the combined secondary science and English sample.

Using T - statistics to compare means for significant difference

the following was found:

Traditionalism

Science progressives were significantly more traditional than English progressives ($\underline{t} = 2.1408$, $\underline{df} = 17$, $\underline{p} \ 0.05$) and elementary progressives ($\underline{t} = 3.2875$, $\underline{df} = 20$, \underline{p} , 0.01).

Progressivism

Science pseudoprogressives were significantly <u>less</u> progressive than elementary pseudoprogressives ($\underline{t} = 3.3254$, $\underline{df} = 19$, <u>p</u> 0.01 Table Conclusions

The following conclusions are based upon the findings of this . study:

(1) Life philosophy is related to, and can be used as a predictor of, educational attitude.

(2) Knowing the life philosophy, and inferring from that the education attitude inclination, of co-operating teachers would help in both the formation of teaching teams and in the assignment of student teachers to co-operating teachers or to co-operating teacher teams.

(3) Enclish teachers scored significantly different results on the Hug Philosophical Consistency Test when compared to science teachers and science Student teachers. It is postualted that English teachers have greater experience, during their college years, with philosophy than do science teachers. If science student teachers had more experience with philosophy, the discrepancy between English and science teachers might be lessened.

· !

Implications

- (A) One possible implication:
 - 1) Pretest entering students into College of Education.
 - 2) Route those high in existentialism (agreement) into different experiences than those scoring low (disagreement) in existentialism.
 - 3) Emphasize existential experience with those students scoring low in existentialism.
- (B) Another possible implication:

Match student teachers and co-op teachers with:

- 1) Similar philosophies
- 2) Dissimilar philosophies

Use a scale of co-operating teachers perception of success of the student teacher to see if there is significant difference between matches of similar philosophies vs. matching of dissimilar philosophies.

- (C) Methods of introduction of experiential existentialism:
 - 1) Theater of absurd
 - 2) Philosophic symposium based on dialogue
 - 3) Seminar experience
 - 4) Sensitivity training
 - 5) fostering of existential tension in classroom
 - 6) fostering attitude of insecure openness
 - 7) fostering student self-guidance and self-evaluation
 - 8) Self-understand modules.



PHILOSOPHY RESPONSES POSITIVE - AGREEMENT	MEAN	ST DE	ANDARD VIATION	CORRELATION X vs. Y	REGRESSION COEFFICIENT
Idealism	1.25714	1.	01704	0.02406	3.41449
Realism	0.38571	0	82168	0.01109	3. 16991
Neo-Thomism	1.84286	1.	09856	-0.12723	2.39419
Experimentalism	3.17143		77724	-0.10201	2.83430
Existentialism	3.18571	•	59975	0.30575*	4.11649
EDUCATIONAL ATTITUDE					
Progressivism	54.05713	7.	08925	·	
INTERCEPT		22.02707			
MULTIPLE COR	RELATION	0.42448*	·		•
STD. ERROR O	F ESTIMAT	E 6.66489		•	
ANA	LYSIS OF	VARIANCE FOR	THE REGRESSIO	Z	
SOURCE OF VARIATIO	N	EGREES OF FREEDOM	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARES	F VALUE
Attributable to Regre	ssion	S	624.84351	124.96869	2.81330*
Deviation from Reyres	sion	64	2842.92773	44.42075	
Total		69	3467.77124		
*Significant at the .	05 level Multi-1	Tab Tab Tab Tinear Stepwi	le l. se Regression, sees and progr	Positive Assivism	
	ノウンオイロコ	~~~~	-1724 - 1110 00011		

<u>1</u>

:

.

BEST COPY, AVAILABLE

ERIC Pruil Toxic Provided by ERIC

•

h

·

ίļ

PHILOSOPHY REFPORSES NEGATIVE - DISAGREETENT	KELN	STANDARD DEVIATION	CURRENTION X vs. Y	RECRESSION COEFFICIENT
Idealism	3.03571	1.34856	-0.21901	-6,79483
Realism	2.45714	1.32627	-0.25290*	-0.85363
Neo-Thomism	1.25714	1.03119	0.02360	-0.26191
Experimentalism	0.74236	0.32358	0.02360	-0.26191
Existentialism	2.08571	1.51076	0.33711*	2.10815
EDUCATIONAL AT1 FUDE				
Traditionalism	36.35713	9.87021		
INTERCEPT	35,	.65329		
NULTIPLE CORRELATI	ION 0.	42453*		
STD. ERROR OF ESTI	IMATE 9.	.27913		
n search a search ann ann an ann ann an an ann ann ann a				
r4 }	ANALYSIS OF	VARIANCE FOR TH	IE REGRESSION	
SOURCE OF VARIATION	DEGREES FREEDOM	OF SUM OF I SQUARES	MEAN SQUARES	F VALUE
Attributuble to Regressic	on 5	1211.50806	242.30161	2.81412
Deviation from Regression	n 64	5510.53906	86.10217	
Total	69	6722.04688		
* Significant at the .05	level			
	reoritit	Table 2.	aniterati noi.	
	hilosophy R	serverses and Tra	ditionalism.	

..<u>:</u>"; ..

•

Full fext Provided by ERIC

1 - 1

,

.

.

۰.

•

٠

ļ;

PHILOSCPHY RESPONSES POSITIVE - AGREEMENT	MEA	N STH DEV	NDARD VIATION	CORRELATION X vs. Y	REGRESSION COEFFICIENT
Idealism Realism	1.2571	1.0 0.8)1704 32168	-0.13055 0.21329	-2.98566 0.29107
Neo-Thomism	1.3423	6 1.(3556	-0.03084	-1.64923
Experimentalism	3.1714	3 1.7	17724	0.20879	-1.27711
Existentialism	3.1857	L 1.	57975	-0.28788*	-3.14337
EDUCATIONAL ATTITUDE					ł
Traditionalism	36.3571	5 ° 6	37021	·	
INTERCEPT		57.10179			
MULTIPLE CORREL	ATION	0.33634*			
STD. ERROR OF E	STIMATE	9.45063			
		AMALYSIS OF	VARIANCE F	OR THE REGRESSION	
SOURCE OF VARIATI	NO	DEGREES OF FREEDOM	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARES	F VALUE
Attributable to Regress	sion	5	1005.92944	201.18588	2.25256
Deviation from Regress:	ion	64	5716.12109	89.31439	
Total		69	6722.04688		
* Significant at the .(05 Level	•			
		Tal	ole 3.	on Docition	
	Philoso	лисаг элсум. Эрһу Respons	ses and Trad	ur, rusturve itionalism.	

.

ť

Full fast Provided by ERIC

• • •

|!

			•		~~~)	L	IPY (AVA	LABL	E		•		4
475	622	40633	5.3693	53.7243	میں معرف		1,715	9.0229	30.2357	14	1313	239863	19.7669	129.50
0-7	5110	113550	7.6535	53.7500	0	240 6. N 6-1	C >> 52	3.3682	59.0000	14	656:	6:25 53	17.8577	120,0512
10	556	31463	7.8455	55.6000	10	361	13797	9.2169	36.1000	11	1257	1 li 7 2 8 9	19,1002	114.2727
	•												•	

REC

\$

	. •
13 13 14 13	13 17
0 14 14 0 14	oheach
d or of	第 では で に で い の に の
Student	rlinger
y and	the Te
にたい	uo
E Sace	tesults
carison c	Tentary F
· ; • ; • ;	0
	11

Table 4

6:255**3** 17.8577 120.9512 147289 19,1002 114.2727 3702 1:65264 17.0569 123.4000 SCENES SCENES 30 1554 8=122 7.1.351 51.6000 123**3** 52855 7.0316 81.1000 33 30 17.5174 123.0525 SECOND. 4.67 54.1575 35.8750 2**∜691**3 574 21223 6.51 E57 h:7307 1969 15 5 5 <mark>м</mark> М <u>!</u>! וו ב 11 24 24 ॥ \\\\ ţt 11 12 **4**× 125 یہ Prograssiva T. Reference 1000

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

ŧ

civit.

.

•

SUBGROUPS	NUMBER
Science Student Teachers	16
Science Teachers	30
English Teachers	10
Elementary School Teachers	14
Tota	1 70

Sample Make-up.



• • • • • •

•

.

•





Number of Pseudoprogressives in Each Teacher Subgroup as Compared to the Total Responses for Each Subgroup.

• •

ERIC

;

BIBLIDGRAPHY

- Kerlinger, F. N. and Kaya, E. The construction and factor analytic validation of scales to measure attitudes toward education. <u>Educational and Psychological</u> <u>Measurement</u>, 1959, 13-29.
- Pedhazur, E. J. Pseudoprogressivism and assessment of teacher behavior; study of educational attitudes. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 1969, 29, 377-86.
- Rokeach, M. The nature and meaning of dogmatism. <u>Psychological Review</u>, 1954, 61, 194-204.
- Hug, William E. Are you philosophically consistent? Science Education, 1970, 54, 185-7.

